SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CLIMATE CHANGE & SMART GROWTH SURVEY 320-459-WT A/B SPLITS N=758

Hello, I'm_____ from F-M-Three, a public opinion research company. We are not telemarketers trying to sell anything, or ask for a donation of any type. We're conducting a public opinion survey about issues that concern people in California. May I speak to _____? (MUST SPEAK TO PERSON LISTED. VERIFY THAT THE VOTER LIVES AT THE ADDRESS LISTED, OTHERWISE TERMINATE.)

A. Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely? (IF NOT ON A CELL PHONE, ASK: Do you own a cell phone?)

1. Next, I am going to mention a list of issues people in Southern California may be concerned about. After you hear each issue, please tell me whether you think it is an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or not too serious a problem for people who live in Southern California. (RANDOMIZE)

		EXT. SERIOUS	VERY SERIOUS	SMWT SERIOUS	SERIOUS	(DON'T READ) DON'T
(CDI I	TECANIDI E A ONI VI	<u>PROBLEM</u>	PROBLEM	PROBLEM	<u>PROBLEM</u>	KNOW/NA
	T SAMPLE A ONLY)					
[]a.	Too much growth and					
	development	10%	18%	30%	39%	3%
[]b.	Pollution of the coastline and					
	beaches	24%	31%	30%	13%	2%
[]c.	A lack of places to live that					
	middle- and low-income					
	families can afford	30%	31%	28%	10%	2%
[]d.	(T) Air pollution	26%	30%	27%	15%	1%
[]e.	(T) Traffic congestion	36%	31%	28%	5%	0%
[]f.	The amount of money people					
	pay in local taxes	27%	26%	29%	16%	2%
[]g.	(T) Unemployment	54%	40%	5%	1%	0%
[]h.	(T) The price of gasoline	40%	36%	18%	6%	0%
[]i.	(T) Global warming	25%	25%	26%	21%	3%
ΓŢj.	(T) The state's dependence on					
	foreign oil	32%	38%	21%	5%	3%
[]k.	(T) The state budget deficit	59%	31%	7%	1%	1%
		77. (7)			NOT TO O	(DOME DE LE)

EXT. VERY SMWT NOT TOO (DON'T READ)

		SERIOUS PROBLEM	SERIOUS PROBLEM	SERIOUS PROBLEM	SERIOUS PROBLEM	DON'T <u>KNOW/NA</u>
(SPLI	T SAMPLE B ONLY)					
[]1.	(T) The amount you pay in					
F 3	taxes	29%	27%	27%	16%	1%
[]m.	(T) Too many environmental regulations	150/	170/	270/	270/	40/
[]n.	A lack of homes, apartments	1370	1 / 70	2/70	3 / %	470
[]11.	and townhouses that middle-					
	and low-income families can					
	afford	22%	31%	28%	17%	2%
[]o.	(T) The cost of health care					
[]p.	(T) California's economy	51%	38%	8%	3%	0%
[]q.	The quality and safety of					
F 3	drinking water					
[]r.	(T) The cost of electricity	20%	26%	30%	23%	1%
[]s.	(T) A lack of water supplies to meet California's long-term					
	needs	20%	34%	25%	11%	1%
[]t.	(T) Climate change					
[]u.	(T) The state's dependence on	10/0	2570	2570	3370	170
[]	oil	29%	32%	25%	12%	2%
[]v.	Asthma and other health					
	problems caused by air pollution	20%	34%	29%	16%	2%
[]w.	The loss of open space and					
	parklands	16%	24%	38%	20%	2%
(RESU	UME ASKING ALL RESPONDEN (T) Next, I am going to read you a p your own view, even if neither of the [] We can have a clean environment	air of statements restand a strong	natches your g economy at	views exactly	y. (ROTATE)
	the same time without having to cho	ose one over	the other		72%)
	OR [] Sometimes a clean environment in conflict and we must choose one				25%)
	(DON'T READ)					
	(BOTH)					
	(NEITHER)					
	(DON'T KNOW/NA)				0%)

MY NEXT QUESTIONS ARE ABOUT THE KIND OF COMMUNITIES WHERE PEOPLE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LIVE.

3.	Imagine for a moment that you are moving to another community. These questions are about the kin of community you would like to live in. Please select the community where you would prefer to live (RANDOMIZE BETWEEN AND WITHIN THE STATEMENTS)	
[]a.	[] A community where the neighborhood has a mix of houses, apartments, townhouses, stores and other businesses that are easy to walk to64%	
	OR	
	[] A community where the neighborhood has houses only and you have to drive to stores and other businesses 34%	
	(DON'T READ)	
	(BOTH)1%	
	(NEITHER) 0%	
	(DON'T KNOW/NA) 0%	
[]b.	[] A community where the houses are smaller and on smaller lots, and you would have a shorter commute to work, 20 minutes or less65%	
	OR	
	[] A community where the houses are larger and on larger lots, and you would have a longer commute to work, 40 minutes or more31%	
	(DON'T READ)	
	(BOTH)0%	
	(NEITHER) 3% (DON'T KNOW/NA) 1%	
	(DON 1 KNOW/NA) 1/0	
4.	Next, where do you think new development should be built in your community? (ROTATE)	
	[] Outside existing cities and suburbs on undeveloped lands36%	
	OR [] Within existing cities and suburbs 54%	
	(DON'T READ)	
	(BOTH) 3%	
	(NEITHER) 3%	
	(DON'T KNOW/NA) 3%	

in

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.

5.	First, which of the following do you th improve transportation in Southern Ca		_		riori	ty for	future	e inve	stmen	ts to
	[] The expansion of roads and highwa	ays						29	9%	
	OR									
	[] The expansion of public transporta	tion, includir	ng trains,	buse	s and	light	rail	60	5%	
	(DON'T READ)									
	(BOTH)									
	(NEITHER)									
	(DON'T KNOW/NA)								1%	
	ALL EFFECTIVE and seven means I NEUTRAL; you can use any number	from one to s	seven. (F		OM	IZE)		is sca	EXT.	
		MEAN	EFF.	•		NEUT			EFF.	(DK/
· 10	Widening existing roads and	SCORE	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	NA)
]a.	Widening existing roads and highways	47	Ω0/	50/	00/	100/	210/	160/	210/	10/
- 1 L	Building new roads and highways									
]b.		4.4	1070-	/ 70	970-	2470	1 / 70	1470	-1070-	/10/
]c.	Evnanding hijhlig transportation									0%
	Expanding public transportation,	5.6	50/。_	10/2	30/2-	10%	15%	17%	17%	
- 14	including trains, buses and light rail	5.6	5% -	4%	3%-	10%	15%	17%	-47%-	
]d.	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative	5.6	5% -	4%	3%-	10%	15%	17%	-47%-	
]d.	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative transportation options, such as									0%
-	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative transportation options, such as shuttles and bike lanes									0%
-	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative transportation options, such as shuttles and bike lanes Making sidewalks and crosswalks	5.1	5% -	4%	7%-	· 16%	20%	17%	-30%-	1%
]]d.	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative transportation options, such as shuttles and bike lanes Making sidewalks and crosswalks safer for pedestrians	5.1	5% -	4%	7%-	· 16%	20%	17%	-30%-	1%
-	including trains, buses and light rail Expanding alternative transportation options, such as shuttles and bike lanes Making sidewalks and crosswalks	5.1	5% -	4%	7%-	· 16%	20%	17%	-30%-	1%

7. Next, I am going to ask you to imagine you are in charge of your region's transportation budget. Specifically, I would like you to tell me how you would prioritize spending your region's transportation budget in <u>five</u> different categories. For this exercise, assume you have 100 dollars to spend on all five categories. After I read you all of the categories, please tell me how many dollars out of 100 you would spend on each, keeping in mind that the total must add up to 100 dollars. (READ RANDOMIZED LIST OF CATEGORIES; RE-READ INSTRUCTIONS AS NECESSARY AND ENSURE THAT THE TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT EQUALS \$100)

CATEGORY	DOLLAR AMOUNT
[] Expanding roads and highways	19.7
[] Repairing and maintaining existing roads and	
highways	24.5
[] Expanding public transportation such as trains, buses and light rail	24 8
[] Helping existing trains, buses and light rail	2 1.0
run on-time	16.9
[] Expanding bike lanes and improving	1.1.1
sidewalks and crosswalks	14.1
TOTAL	\$100

8. Next, in order to reduce traffic congestion and air pollution, and provide more transportation choices, would you support or oppose local governments in Southern California investing more to expand and improve your public transportation including buses, trains and light rail? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 80%
Strongly support51%
Somewhat support 30%
TOTAL OPPOSE 18%
Somewhat oppose 8%
Strongly oppose 10%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 1%

9. Next, local and regional transportation planning agencies in Southern California do <u>not</u> currently have the authority to place measures on the ballot to give local voters the opportunity to vote for additional transportation funding. Instead, they have to ask state government in Sacramento for permission each time. Would you support or oppose giving these local and regional transportation planning agencies their own authority to place funding measures on the ballot for voters to approve or reject? (**IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE**, **ASK:** "Is that strongly **SUPPORT/OPPOSE** or just somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT
TOTAL OPPOSE
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 4%

10. Next, here is a more specific proposal. Some people in Southern California have proposed placing a measure on the ballot to fund significant expansion of the regional commuter rail transportation system; repair and maintain regionally significant highway systems and bridges; and improve the efficiency of and decrease air pollution from regional freight transportation. Does this ballot measure sound like something you would support or oppose? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 76%
Strongly support 37%
Somewhat support39%
-
TOTAL OPPOSE 19%
Somewhat oppose 9%
Strongly oppose 10%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 4%

11. Next, I am going to read you some potential ways Southern California could raise additional funding for transportation projects to reduce traffic congestion, provide more transportation choices and reduce air pollution. After hearing each one, please tell me whether you would support or oppose each way of raising additional funding for public transportation. (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?) (RANDOMIZE)

		STR SUPP.	SMWT SUPP.	SMWT <u>OPP.</u>	STR OPP.	(DK/NA)
[]a.	A one-eighth cent sales tax increase					
[]b.	Placing new tolls on local highways	10%	19%	18%	51%	2%
[]c.	Allowing solo-motorists to pay a fee to drive in carpool lanes during rush hour	29%	25%	12%	33%	1%
[]d.	Charging all drivers a small fee based	2770	2370	12/0	3370	170
F 3	on the number of miles they drive	8%	13%	13%	66%	0%
[]e.	A small increase in vehicle registration fees	17%	27%	12%	43%	1%
(SPLI	T SAMPLE A ONLY)					
[]f.	A four-cent per gallon increase in the		. =		5.40.4	
[]g.	tax on gasolineA parcel tax of 50 dollars charged on	7%	15%	13%	64%	0%
[]8.	each property in the region	11%	20%	14%	53%	2%
(SPLI	T SAMPLE B ONLY)					
[]h.	An eight-cent per gallon increase in					
F 3.	the tax on gasoline	11%	9%	12%	67%	0%
[]i.	A parcel tax of 25 dollars charged on each property in the region	15%	21%	14%	48%	2%

(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)

12. Next, would you support or oppose allowing local ballot measures to fund transportation improvements to be approved with support from a 55-percent majority of voters? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 64%	ó
Strongly support29%	6
Somewhat support 35%	6
TOTAL OPPOSE 32%	6
Somewhat oppose 10%	6
Strongly oppose 22%	6
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 4%	6

(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)

Next, most local ballot measures seeking to raise funds for transportation projects require support from two-thirds of voters to pass. Would you support or oppose lowering this vote threshold, and allowing local ballot measures to fund transportation improvements to pass with support from a 55- percent majority of voters instead of two-thirds? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 50%
Strongly support29%
Somewhat support21%
11
TOTAL OPPOSE 47%
Somewhat oppose 14%
Strongly oppose 33%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 3%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT ISSUE.

14. City and county governments in Southern California are developing a plan to reduce global warming pollution and air pollution; promote shorter commutes and transit use; and conserve open space and natural areas. These goals would be accomplished through a variety of methods, including locating more homes, apartments and condos near jobs, and expanding public transportation such as trains, buses and light rail in your community.

Based on this description, would you support or oppose city and county governments in Southern California developing such a plan? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 74%
Strongly support 38%
Somewhat support 37%
• •
TOTAL OPPOSE 23%
Somewhat oppose 9%
Strongly oppose 14%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 2%

15. Here are some statements from people who <u>support</u> this plan to build new homes closer to existing jobs and schools, reduce commutes and traffic, and provide more transportation choices in Southern California. After hearing each statement, please tell me whether you find it very convincing, somewhat convincing, or not convincing. If you do not believe the statement, please tell me that too. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	,					(DON'T
		VERY CONV.	SMWT CONV.	NOT CONV.	DON'T BEL.	`
[]a.	(INFRASTRUCTURE SAVINGS) By taking					
	advantage of existing sewers, water pipes,					
	roadways and utility lines, building new					
	development in existing urban areas can save					
	Southern California billions of dollars in avoided					
	infrastructure costs	24%	43%	19%	12%	3%
[]b.	(WATER) More efficient development and					
	growth can help us reduce water consumption,					
	and help make sure we have the water supplies	210/	420/	100/	00/	10/
F 7 -	we need in the future.	31%	42%	18%	9%	1%
[]c.	(OPEN SPACE) Building more homes near jobs					
	and stores could reduce the need to develop open					
	space and farmlands, potentially saving hundreds of square miles of land from development	300%	/110/	20%	Ω0/2	10/2
[]d.	(TRAFFIC CONGESTION) Locating homes	30 /0	41/0	20/0	9 /0	1 /0
լ յս.	and jobs closer together will reduce traffic					
	congestion and the amount of time spent in cars					
	during long commutes	40%	36%	16%	8%	0%
[]e.	(ENVIRONMENT) Building new development	1070	2070	1070	070	0,0
[]	in existing urban areas rather than in undeveloped					
	areas will help protect clean water, forests,					
	wildlife habitat, rivers, our coastline and					
	California's natural beauty for future generations					
	to enjoy	34%	39%	17%	9%	1%
[]f.	(ECONOMY) Investments in public					
	transportation can improve California's economy					
	by creating jobs	40%	36%	13%	10%	1%
[]g.	(HOUSING CHOICES) Building more homes					
	and apartments near public transportation,					
	creating walkable communities, and locating					
	homes and jobs closer together – will give	• • • • •	120/	100/	100/	407
F 31	Californians more housing choices	29%	43%	18%	10%	1%
[]h.	(LIVE CLOSE TO WORK/QUALITY OF					
	LIFE) Locating homes and jobs closer together					
	improves our quality of life by reducing the amount of time spent in cars during long					
	commutes and letting people spend more time with their families	410/2 -	37%	15% -	70/2	0º/a
	with their rannings.	71/0	5 / /0	13/0	/ / 0	0 / 0

(CD)		VERY CONV.	SMWT CONV.	NOT CONV.	(DON DON'T REA BEL. <u>DK/N</u>	D)
[]i.	(HOUSEHOLD SAVINGS) Building more homes near jobs and stores dramatically reduces household transportation costs, potentially saving Southern California households as much as threathousand dollars per year.	ng e 29%	42%	13%	13% 2%	6
[]j.	(AIR POLLUTION/HEALTHY FAMILIES) Air pollution and smog are responsible for thousands of cases of lung disease and asthma across Southern California each year. Offering more alternatives to driving and shortening commutes will help make our families healthier by reducing air pollution		38%	18%	10% 1%	6
(CDI	IT CAMBLE DONLY)					
(SPL []k.	(GAS SAVINGS) Building more homes near jobs and stores could potentially save household who live there 1,500 dollars per year in gasoling costs by reducing the number of miles people must drive to get to work and stores(AIR POLLUTION/HEALTHCARE COSTS Air pollution and smog are responsible for	34%	41%	18%	7% 0%	ó
	thousands of cases of lung disease and asthma across California each year. Offering more alternatives to driving and shortening commutes will help reduce healthcare costs by reducing air pollution.	r	35%	17%	10% 1%	ó
(RES	UME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)					
16.	Having heard more about it, let me ask you againg governments in Southern California to reduce g shorter commutes and transit use; and conserve accomplished through a variety of methods, including jobs, and expanding public transportation is Do these plans sound like something you would "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or some	lobal warming proper space and luding locating such as trains, but support or opport	pollution an natural area more home uses and lig	d air pollutas. These ges, apartmenth that in yearth and yearth yearth and yearth	tion; promote goals would be nts and condos our community.	
	TO	TAL SUPPOR	RT	<i>'</i>	72%	
	Str	ongly support			37%	
	So	mewhat support	;		36%	
		OTAL OPPOSI				
		mewhat oppose				
	Str	ongly oppose			1270	

(DON'T READ) DK/NA----- 3%

NEXT, LET ME ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS ON A RELATED TOPIC.

17. First, some people have said that state government should provide a dedicated funding source to (SPLIT A: increase the supply of affordable places to live for Californians on modest budgets) (SPLIT B: increase the supply of affordable places to live for low-income Californians). Does this sound like something you would support or oppose? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or somewhat?")

	SPLIT A	SPLIT B	OVERALL
TOTAL SUPPORT	<u>62%</u>	61%	61%
Strongly support	29%	28%	29%
Somewhat support	32%	33%	32%
TOTAL OPPOSE	35%	34%	35%
Somewhat oppose			
Strongly oppose	22%	22%	22%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA	3%	5%	4%

18. Next, do you support or oppose your community encouraging the development of more affordable homes near jobs and public transit? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 77%
Strongly support43%
Somewhat support 33%
TOTAL OPPOSE
TOTAL OPPOSE 21%
Somewhat oppose 9%
Strongly oppose 12%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 3%

NEXT, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU A FEW MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ISSUE OF GLOBAL WARMING AND CLIMATE CHANGE.

19. First, to address global warming, do you support or oppose the state law that requires California to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions back to 1990 levels by the year 2020? (IF SUPPORT /OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or somewhat?")

TOTAL SUPPORT 67%
Strongly support45%
Somewhat support22%
TOTAL OPPOSE
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 5%

20. Next, as a part of reducing global warming pollution, California may generate additional revenue through fees on those who generate and release such pollution. Now I am going to read you a list of the ways this funding might be used . After I read each one, please tell me whether you think that area should be an extremely high priority, a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority. (RANDOMIZE)

			KT. <u>GH</u>	<u>HIGH</u>	MOD.	LOW	(DK/ <u>NA)</u>
[]a.	Research and development of new clean energy technologies		27%	35%	6 24%	12%-	1%
[]b.	Making existing industrial plants and factories le	ess					
[]c.	Building car pool lanes and road improvements to decrease congestion and gasoline consumption	to					
[]d.	Energy efficiency improvements in commercial buildings and homes						
[]e.	Installing solar energy systems at schools, to low energy costs and save money for education	ver					
[]f.	Tax credits for energy saving improvements in homes or business		24%	39%	6 23%	13%-	1%
[]g.	Tree planting and developing more local parks to reduce temperature and energy use in cities		25%	33%	ó30%	12%-	0%
[]h. []i.	Creating new clean energy jobs Protecting open space and farmland around cities						
[]j.	decrease sprawl Improving and expanding public transportation		21%	36%	629%	12%-	2%
	services		22%	40%	ó27%	11%-	0%
[]k.	pollution in low-income communities		20%	36%	ó28%	14%-	2%
21.	Next, I am going to read you a few statements. A agree or disagree with each statement. (IF AGR AGREE/DISAGREE or just somewhat?) (RAN	REE/DISA	GRE				ther you
	4	STR AGREE	SMV <u>AGR</u>		MWT ISAG.	STR DISAG.	(DK/NA)
[]a.	Southern California should take a leadership role in reducing global	450/	200	1/	00/	170/	10/
[]b.	warming pollution Local governments in Southern California should include strategies to reduce global warming pollution when	43%	289	//0	ŏ%0	1 / %0	1 %0
	making land use and transportation decisions	45%	349	%	7%	14%	1%

22.	Next, do you think that Southern California doing things to reduce global warming – like expanding
	the use of public transit and cleaner transportation and renewable energy, and requiring reductions in
	air pollution - would cause there to be more jobs for people in Southern California, would cause there
	to be fewer jobs, or wouldn't affect the number of jobs for people in Southern California?

More jobs 52	
Fewer jobs18	8%
Would not affect the number of jobs 2:	5%
(DON'T READ)	
(ALL)	0%
(NONE)	
(DON'T KNOW)	

Next, I am going to read you some ways the State of California could address climate change and air pollution. After hearing each one, please tell me whether you would support or oppose each way of addressing climate change and air pollution. (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?) (RANDOMIZE)

		STR SUPP.	SMWT SUPP.	SMWT OPP.	STR OPP.	(DK/NA)
[]a.	Requiring automakers to significantly reduce the amount of global warming pollution produced by new cars, trucks	<u>50111</u>	<u>86111</u>	<u> </u>	<u>0111</u>	(1011)
	and S-U-Vs	53%	27%	8%	11%	1%
[]b.	Requiring automakers to build more Zero Emission Vehicles, which do not					
	produce global warming pollution	50%	27%	9%	13%	2%
[]c.	Requiring oil companies to make clean energy fuels – like hydrogen and electricity – available when there are enough cars in the area that need those					
	fuels	51%	30%	8%	9%	1%

HERE ARE MY FINAL QUESTIONS. THESE QUESTIONS ARE FOR CLASSIFICATION PURPOSES ONLY.

24. Do you or does anyone in your immediate family suffer from asthma or other respiratory problems? (IF YES: Would that be you or someone in your family?)

(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED	1%
No, neither6	
Yes, both	
Vac both	60/
Yes, family member2	U%
X/ C 1 1	00/
Yes, myself	6%

25.	Are you considering moving to a ne	ew home sometime in the next three years?	,
		Yes	26%
		No	68%
		(DON'T READ) MAYBE/UNS	URE5%
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFU	SED1%
26.	•	If politically: Are you liberal, moderate SK: "Is that very liberal/conservative or just	
		Very liberal	14%
		Somewhat liberal	
		Moderate	36%
		Somewhat conservative	19%
		Very conservative	15%
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFU	
27.	What was the last level of school ye	ou completed?	
		Grades 1-8	1%
		Grades 9-11	
		High school graduate (12)	
		Community college/	
		Vocational school	9%
		Less than 4 years of college	24%
		College graduate (4 year college)	
		Post-graduate/	,
		Professional school	20%
		(DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refuse	ed 1%
28.	Are you employed outside the hom EMPLOYED, ASK: Are you emp	e, or are you a homemaker, a student, or re loyed full- or part-time?) Employed/full-time	·
		Employed/part-time	
		Homemaker	
		Student	
		Retired	
		Unemployed	* *
		Other	
		(DON'T KNOW/NA)	
			0 / 0

(ASK Q29 IF EMPLOYED FULL OR PART-TIME IN Q28)

29. How long is your average one-way commute? (**READ RESPONSES**)

Less than 15 minutes 32	2%
15-30 minutes 33	3%
31-45 minutes18	3%
45 minutes – 1 hour 11	1%
Longer than 1 hour 3	3%
(DON'T KNOW/NA) 2	2%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

30. With which racial or ethnic group do you identify yourself: Latino or Hispanic, African-American or Black, White or Caucasian, Asian or Pacific Islander, or some other ethnic or racial background?

Latino/Hispanic25%
African-American/Black 6%
White/Caucasian 58%
Asian/Pacific Islander 6%
Other 1%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refused 4%

31. In what year were you born?

1993-1987 (18-24) 12%
1986-1982 (25-29) 6%
1981-1977 (30-34) 8%
1976-1972 (35-39) 8%
1971-1967 (40-44) 8%
1966-1962 (45-49) 10%
1961-1957 (50-54) 10%
1956-1952 (55-59) 9%
1951-1947 (60-64) 8%
1946-1937 (65-74) 10%
1936 or earlier (75 & over) 8%
(DON'T READ) DK/Refused 3%

32. I don't need to know the exact amount, but I'm going to read you some categories for household income. Would you please stop me when I have read the category indicating the total combined income for all the people in your household before taxes in 2010?

\$30,000 and under 17%
\$30,001 - \$60,000 18%
\$60,001 - \$75,000 12%
\$75,001 - \$100,000 16%
More than \$100,00025%
(DON'T READ) Refused 12%

THANK AND TERMINATE Male-----49% **Sex:** By observation Female ----- 51% 44% Party: Democrat Republican -----31% DTS ------20% Other ----- 5% Phone # ____ Name ____ Address _____ Registration Date Precinct Zip_ Interviewer _____ Cluster # Verified by Page # <u>HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE</u> Dem 1 ----- 19% **FLAGS** P06 ---- 31% G06 ----- 51% Dem 2+----- 16% F08 ----- 56% Rep 1 ----- 10% P08 ----- 28% Rep 2+----- 13% Ind 1+----- 14% G08 ----- 78% M09-----34% Mix ----- 28% P10-----38% G10 ----- 74% **COUNTY** BLANK ----- 10% Imperial -----1% Los Angeles ----- 55% Orange----- 20% PERMANENT ABSENTEE Yes-----35% Riverside ----- 10% San Bernardino----- 10% No------ 65% Ventura-----4%