

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

# **Energy Efficiency Benefits**

# Heating and Cooling Energy Conservation Case Study

40 W 20th Street, NRDC New York Headquarters

October 2016

# <u>Authors</u>

Ryan Meinke Anthony Guerrero

#### <u>Contributors</u>

Milly Suarez Eileen Quigley Mitch Lappin Pierre Delforge Jay Orfield

#### **Executive Summary**

NRDC's headquarters is housed in the top 5 floors of a 12-story building dating from 1911<sup>1</sup>. Over the last several years, many energy conservation measures (ECMs) have been implemented to upgrade NRDC's space. Many of these have targeted electricity use, such as installation of occupancy sensors for lighting, reduction of printing energy use, and a major update to NRDC's onsite data center. Some of the ECMs have also impacted heating efficiency, such as installation of new windows throughout the building, and a renovation of the 8<sup>th</sup> floor to an open plan, which allows heat to circulate more effectively.

With the implementation of NRDC's real-time reporting software (Noveda) we are able to pin-point opportunities for improvement and potential cost savings and report on the effectiveness of ECMs once implemented. This analysis evaluated the aggregate benefits of four measures (set points & timing adjustments, boiler controls, air sealing, and an electric heater) and has found that they have resulted in a 30% reduction in heating energy and a 76% reduction in cooling energy, or roughly 13% of total electricity use, saving enough energy to power around 20 homes<sup>2</sup>. Our cost savings were \$34-43k annually<sup>3</sup> on a total investment of \$87k, resulting in a roughly 2 year simple payback period, and an IRR of 36%. Excluding the set points & timing adjustments and looking at just those ECMs that required an investment, annual savings were \$17-18k, resulting in a roughly 4.5 year simple payback period, with an IRR of 7%.

#### **Heating Efficiency Improvements and Emission Reductions**

Four of the most recent ECMs have targeted the building envelope and heating & cooling efficiency.

- Set points for our thermostats were lowered for winter 2012/2013.
- New boiler controls were implemented in July 2013, enabling the building manager to monitor and manage set points and temperatures more granularly via numerous sensors on all floors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The top 5 floors are owned and occupied by NRDC; the middle two floors are owned by NRDC and leased to a tenant, and the lower five floors are owned and occupied by the Andrew Heiskell New York City Library for the Blind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 225,000 kWh saved annually, including heating and cooling energy; the equivalent of 20 average US homes at 10,932 kWh. Source: https://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.cfm?id=97&t=3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The savings range depends on weather and energy cost assumptions used to calculate avoided spending on heating fuel and electricity for cooling. See appendix for details.

- Air sealing was completed throughout the basement, NRDC-owned floors (6-12) and roof between August and October of 2014, and was estimated to have closed the equivalent of a 10 square foot hole in the building envelope<sup>4</sup>.
- The water tank on the roof for the fire sprinkler system was switched from boiler heat to electric heat in December 2015, eliminating the need for the boiler to run to keep the water from freezing in the winter, and enabling significantly lower boiler usage on weekends.

In addition, in September 2013, the boiler was switched over to B100 biodiesel, made from recycled cooking oil purchased from Tri-state Biodiesel. This was not an efficiency measure, but using biodiesel dramatically reduces our climate changecontributing greenhouse gas emissions because of its biogenic nature<sup>5</sup>. Similarly, in

# Analysis of Improvements in Heating Efficiency

In order to isolate the improvements in heating efficiency, we measured total gallons of heating oil consumed, converted this to kilowatt-hours based on the average heating value for that fuel (biodiesel has a lower energy content per gallon), and adjusted for temperature variance between years (Winter 2014/2015 was around 11% cooler than the 10 year average, and Winter 2015/2016 was 18% warmer).

#### **Heating Efficiency Findings**

The combination of all heating efficiency improvements led to a 30% reduction in heating fuel use, after adjusting for weather.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Air sealing materials used were the best available, non-toxic option.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The carbon released when it is burned is from biological, not fossil fuel sources and thus is part of the biogenic carbon cycle; the carbon it contains came from the atmosphere into the plants from which it was harvested. In addition, because our biodiesel comes from recycled cooking oil, it is being put to use twice before its carbon is returned to the atmosphere.



Lowering the heating set points in winter 2012/2013 seemed to reduce heating fuel consumption by  $\sim 10\%$  from the average of the previous 3 years. The boiler controls and the switch to biodiesel, both implemented before the 2013/2014 heating season, seemed to have no detectable impact. The air barrier and/or improvements in usage of the boiler controls seem to have improved heating efficiency by around another 5% in Winter 2014/2015 compared to Winter 2013/2014. The Senior Facility Manager noted that the set points were still in flux for the first several months the boiler controls were in place, so it is possible that some of the improvement in Winter 2014/2015 is attributable not to the air barrier, but to those controls being used more effectively. The largest improvement was observed in Winter 2015-2016, as heating efficiency improved by an additional 18% from Winter 2014-2015. Prior to December 2015, the Building Manager noted that the boiler was being used to maintain the rooftop sprinkler system water tower above 40 degrees Fahrenheit, and thus ran more often and used more fuel than was necessary just to warm the building itself. On weekends, set points were left as high as 73 degrees F to ensure the boiler would run, heat the water tank and prevent freezing. Once an electric heater was installed in the tank in Dec. 2015, the weekend set points were lowered to 69 degrees F. Fuel use on weekends from January to April 2016 was nearly 1,000 gallons less than the same period in 2015, and heating efficiency on those weekends improved by 53%.

# Methodology

# Timing & Scope

Our analysis compared 4 winters (2012/2013, 2013/2014, 2014/2015, and 2015/2016) during heating season (October-March). We analyzed total gallons of heating fuel consumed by the boiler, which heats floors 6-12 and common areas of the building (basement, foyer, elevator).

# Heating Oil Consumption

The amount of heating oil consumed was measured in two ways:

- Daily direct measurements of the tank level every morning around 8am by the building manager, using a petrometer.
- Starting in September 2013, a flow meter automatically measured the amount of fuel consumed in one-minute increments, and the data is captured via a web-based sustainability management tool (Noveda).

Where these two measurements overlap, for the winters of 2013/2014 and 2014/2015, they varied by less than 1% overall, so we have high confidence in the petrometer measurements for previous years. We have used the automated meter readings since they have become available.

# Average Heating Values

In comparing fuel usage across years where different heating fuels were used, it would not be accurate to directly compare gallons of #2 heating oil against gallons of B100 biodiesel, because they have different heating values, or energy density. Instead we compared energy use in standard units: kilowatt-hours. Biodiesel has 11% less energy content per gallon, so 111 gallons of B100 have the same energy content as 100 gallons of #2 oil. Our analysis used the average gross calorific heating values to compare the energy content of #2 heating oil to that of biodiesel.<sup>6</sup>

# Weather normalization

Finally, for comparability across winters, we adjusted the heat usage by dividing kilowatt-hours consumed by the number of heating degree days (HDD) per winter<sup>7</sup>. Winter 2012/2013 (Oct - Mar.) totaled 4,042 HDD. Winter 2013/2014 was much

http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/fuel-oil-combustion-values-d\_509.html Source for B100 biodiesel: Alternative Fuels Data Center, retrieved March 2015 http://www.afdc.energy.gov/fuels/fuel comparison chart.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The numbers we used were 40.85 kWh/gallon for #2 heating oil and 36.27 kWh/gallon for B100 biodiesel. In both cases we used the midpoint of the higher and lower heating values. Source for #2 heating oil: Engineering Toolbox, retrieved March 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> One heating degree-day is defined as one degree below the average daily temperature of 65 degrees Fahrenheit, for one day. Thus if the temperature was 35 degrees for 10 days, that would total 30 degrees\*10 days, or 300 heating degree-days. Heating degree-day data was obtained from Weather Underground, for the KNYC weather station in Central Park.

colder at 4,472 HDD. Winter 2014/2015 was even colder at 4,563 HDD. Winter 2015/2016 was the warmest in over a decade, at 3,248 HDD.

#### **Cooling Efficiency Improvements**

At the beginning of 2014, measures were taken to limit use of air conditioning on nights and weekends. The air sealing completed between August and October of 2014 improved cooling efficiency as well as heating efficiency by closing the equivalent of a 10 square foot hole in the building envelope.

#### Analysis of Improvements in Cooling Efficiency

In order to isolate improvements in cooling efficiency, we used electricity meter readings on HVAC-specific meters installed on each floor (8-12) of NRDC's occupied space. Because the HVAC system is used for ventilation as well as space cooling, we assumed a base load of 18,000 kWh monthly (based on November, the lowest energy use month in 2015)<sup>8</sup>. We compared meter readings for Summer (May – September) 2013 with the same 5 months of 2014 and 2015, and adjusted for temperature variance between years (Summer 2014 was 10% cooler than Summer 2013, and Summer 2015 was 41% warmer than Summer 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The lowest usage for the HVAC system is in the shoulder months of spring and fall; in winter usage is higher. Our hypothesis is that this is due to a flaw in the AC system through which turns it on when the heat is on in the winter. This represents a significant source of inefficiency and opportunity for further improvement.

#### **Cooling Efficiency Findings**

Cooling efficiency improved by 76% over the period analyzed, from 109 kWh per cooling degree day in Summer 2013 to 26 kWh per cooling degree day in Summer 2015. Two separate improvements were noted. First, minimizing night and weekend air conditioner use reduced energy use per cooling degree day by 54%, comparing Summer 2014 to Summer 2013. Energy usage by the HVAC system totaled 223 MWh in Summer 2013, of which 90 MWh was base load ventilation, and 133 MWh was for cooling the space. Air conditioners on floors 8-12 used a total of 145 MWh in Summer 2014, of which we estimate only 55 MWh was used for space cooling (assuming the same 90 MWh base load). The second improvement, air sealing, seems to have had a significant further impact on cooling efficiency. For the same five months in 2015, after the air sealing was completed, the space cooling required only 40 MWh (130 MWh total minus 90 MWh base load). This is a 26% decrease. However, because summer 2015 was much hotter than summer 2014, the cooling electricity use per cooling degree day was 47% lower; reduced from 50 kWh/CDD down to 26 kWh/CDD.



7

#### Cost benefit analysis

#### Summary and Methodology

Total annual savings of all heating and cooling ECMs investigated are estimated at \$34k in the first year, and \$43k for subsequent years. Benefits have been calculated based on the estimated avoided costs in the heating or cooling season postimplementation, comparing the level of heating or cooling efficiency pre- and postimplementation for each energy conservation measure. The savings in the first year post-implementation of each ECM were calculated using the actual weather that year and the actual energy prices NRDC experienced. For subsequent years (in order to calculate IRR and NPV), a 10 year average was used for weather conditions, a five-year average cost for B100 biodiesel, \$4.82/gallon<sup>9</sup>, and NRDC's average summer 2016 cost for 100% New York State wind- and solar-generated electricity, 26 cents/kWh. For additional detail, see the financial analysis supplement.

#### Costs

| Boiler Controls:            | \$8k  |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Air Sealing:                | \$68k |
| Electric Water Tank Heater: | \$11k |
| Total:                      | \$87k |

#### Benefits

#### Heating Benefits

Adjusting set points and timing of heating improved heating efficiency by 10% in Winter 2012-2013, avoiding the combustion of 991 gallons of #2 heating oil and saving \$3,974 at that winter's average price. Lower heating fuel use due to air sealing, possibly in combination with improved usage of the boiler controls, likely saved around \$3,203 in Winter 2014/2015, estimating that an additional 575 gallons of biodiesel would have been used if heating efficiency had been at the prior winter's level. In Winter 2015/2016, installing the electric water heater for the sprinkler water tank saved \$6,108, or 1,420 gallons of fuel. The total annual savings in winter 2015/2016 attributable to the sum of all heating ECMs over the 5 years investigated, was \$15,304. This figure was obtained by comparing winter 2015/2016's heating efficiency of 73.1 kWh per heating degree day to 103.8 kWh per heating degree day (the average of the three winters prior to winter 2012/2013, when the first ECM investigated was implemented). At winter 2015-2016's relatively mild 3,248 heating degree days, an additional 100,000 kWh of heating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Central Atlantic average from 2012-2016, according to the US Department of Energy's Alternative Fuels Data Center: http://www.afdc.energy.gov/fuels/prices.html

energy would have been required at pre-ECM levels of heating efficiency (337,000 vs. 237,000 kWh). This represents a 30% reduction in fuel use, or the avoided combustion of 2,748 gallons of biodiesel.

#### **Cooling Benefits**

Lower electricity use due to reduction in night and weekend air conditioner use probably saved around \$13k in summer 2014. Lower electricity use due to air sealing probably saved around \$7.7k in electricity costs in summer 2015. During the warm Summer 2015 (1,553 cooling degree days), had efficiency been at 2013 levels, NRDC would likely have spent an additional \$27k in cooling.

#### **Return on Investment**

Overall, the combination of all the ECMs taken paid for itself in around 2 years. Some of the Energy Conservation Measures had no cost; specifically adjusting heating and cooling set points, and reducing air conditioning and heating on nights, weekends, and holidays. The electric water tank heater had a simple payback of around 1.9 years<sup>10</sup>. The boiler controls may have had some impact once they were used effectively (the heating season after implementation), but it's not possible to distinguish this impact on heating efficiency from that of the air sealing, which was completed at the same time. The two together had a simple payback period of 6.3 years. A ten-year net present value was calculated at a 5% discount rate based on the costs of these ECMs and the savings they generated. The NPV for all ECMs was \$186,893, with an internal rate of return of 36%. The NPV for just those ECMs requiring an investment (excluding the set points and timing adjustments) was \$42,615, with an internal rate of return of 7%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The electric water heater does require incremental electricity, but we don't have an accurate measurement for its consumption. We have conservatively estimated around 100 kWh a year, and the savings shown above have been reduced by \$22 to account for this estimated cost. It is not primarily a fuel-switching measure, however: the electric immersion water heater heats only the water in the tank, at virtually 100% efficiency, since all heat is generated in the water. (Considering source energy used to generate the electricity, and transmission & distribution losses, efficiency would be closer to 30%.) However, in the previous situation, when the boiler was running in order to warm the water, seven floors of the building were being heated in addition to the water in the tank. This must be considered far less efficient, given that the boiler was being triggered at times due solely to low temperature of water in the exposed tank, especially on weekends when office set points were lower than on weekdays.

# Financial Analysis Supplement

| Simple Payback:<br>Energy<br>Conservation<br>Measures | First Year<br>Heating<br>Savings | First Year<br>Cooling<br>Savings | Total First<br>Year Savings | Total<br>Costs | Simple<br>Payback<br>(years) |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Set points/timing                                     | \$3,973                          | \$13,031                         | \$17,005                    | -              | -                            |
| Water heater  | \$6,108                          |                                  | \$6,108                     | \$11,300       | 1.9                          |
| Air sealing & Boiler<br>Controls                      | \$3,203                          | \$7,666                          | \$10,869                    | \$68,027       | 6.3                          |
| First Year Total                                      | \$13,284                         | \$20,697                         | \$33,982                    | \$79,327       | 2.3                          |

| ECM:                                     | Set points/timing: heating |                |  |                |                |  |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| Date Completed                           | Summ                       | ner 2012       | Efficiency Improvement                   | 10%            |                |  |
| Cost:                                    | \$0                        | IRR:           | N/A                                      | 10 yr NPV @5%: | N/A            |  |
| Pre-ECM Efficiency                       | 103.8                      | kWh per<br>HDD | Post-ECM Efficiency                      | 93.7           | kWh per<br>HDD |  |
| First Year Savin                         | gs Estimate                | 11             | Ongoing Sa                               | vings Estimate |                |  |
| Post ECM Season                          | Winter 2                   | 2012 - 2013    | Weather Assumption                       | 10 Year        | Avg            |  |
| Post ECM Weather                         | 4,042                      | HDD            | 10 Year Avg. Winter                      | 4,006          | HDD            |  |
| Actual Heating Energy<br>Used            | 378,881                    | kWh            | Heating Energy Needed<br>Post-ECM        | 375,535        | kWh            |  |
| Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency | 419,363                    | kWh            | Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency | 415,659        | kWh            |  |
| Energy Avoided                           | 40,482                     | kWh            | Energy Avoided                           | 40,125         | kWh            |  |
| % Avoided                                | 10%                        |                | % Avoided                                | 10%            |                |  |
| Fuel                                     | #2                         | Fuel Oil       | Fuel                                     | B100           | Biodiesel      |  |
| Fuel Use Avoided                         | 991                        | gallons        | Fuel Use Avoided                         | 1,106          | gallons        |  |
| Cost, U.S. Avg. in Jan.<br>2013          | \$4.01                     | per gallon     | Cost - 5 yr. avg., Central<br>Atlantic   | \$4.82         | per gallon     |  |
| First Year Heating Cost<br>Avoided       | \$3,974                    |                | Annual Heating Cost<br>Avoided           | \$5,330        |                |  |

# **Energy Conservation Measures: Detailed Savings Calculations**

| ECM:                   | Set points/timing: cooling |         |                                |               |         |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Date Completed         | Sprin                      | g 2014  | Efficiency Improvement         | 54%           |         |
|                        |                            |         |                                | 10 yr NPV     |         |
| Cost:                  | \$0                        | IRR:    | N/A                            | @5%:          | N/A     |
|                        |                            | kWh per |                                |               | kWh per |
| Pre-ECM Efficiency     | 108.6                      | CDD     | Post-ECM Efficiency            | 49.5          | CDD     |
| First Year Sav         | ings Estimat               | e       | Ongoing Sav                    | ings Estimate |         |
| Post ECM Season        | Summe                      | er 2014 | Weather Assumption 10 Year Avg |               | vg      |
| Post ECM Weather       | 1,103                      | CDD     | 10 Year Avg. Summer            | 1,247         | CDD     |
| Actual Cooling Energy  |                            |         | Cooling Energy Needed          |               |         |
| Used                   | 54,614                     | kWh     | Post-ECM                       | 61,734        | kWh     |
| Cooling Energy at Pre- |                            |         | Cooling Energy at Pre-         |               |         |
| ECM Efficiency         | 119,771                    | kWh     | ECM Efficiency                 | 135,386       | kWh     |
| Energy Avoided         | 65,157                     | kWh     | Energy Avoided                 | 73,652        | kWh     |
| % Avoided              | 54%                        |         | % Avoided                      | 54%           |         |
| NRDC Cost, Summer      |                            |         |                                |               |         |
| 2014                   | \$0.20                     | kWh     | NRDC Cost, Summer 2016         | \$0.26        | kWh     |
| First Year Cooling     |                            |         | Annual Cooling Cost            |               |         |
| Cost Avoided           | \$13,031                   |         | Avoided                        | \$19,149      |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> First year savings use weather and energy costs in first year post-ECM implementation.

| ECM:  | Air Sealing & Boiler Controls |                |  |                    |                |  |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------|--|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Date Completed                              | Oct                           | . 2014         | Efficiency Improvement                   | 5%                 |                |  |
| Cost:                                       | \$76,027                      | IRR:           | 2.2%                                     | 10 yr NPV @<br>5%: | \$(1,981)      |  |
| Pre-ECM Efficiency                          | 93.5                          | kWh per<br>HDD | Post-ECM Efficiency                      | 88.9               | kWh per<br>HDD |  |
| First Year Savi                             | ngs Estimat                   | te             | Ongoing Sa                               | vings Estimate     |                |  |
| Post ECM Season                             | Winter 2                      | 2014 - 2015    | Weather Assumption                       | 10 Yea             | ar Avg         |  |
| Post ECM Weather                            | 4,563                         | HDD            | 10 Year Avg. Winter                      | 4,006              | HDD            |  |
| Actual Heating Energy<br>Used               | 405,799                       | kWh            | Heating Energy Needed<br>Post-ECM        | 356,291            | kWh            |  |
| Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency    | 426,654                       | kWh            | Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency | 374,601            | kWh            |  |
| Energy Avoided                              | 20,855                        | kWh            | Energy Avoided                           | 18,310             | kWh            |  |
| % Avoided                                   | 5%                            |                | % Avoided                                | 5%                 |                |  |
| Fuel  | B100                          | Biodiesel      | Fuel                                     | B100               | Biodiesel      |  |
| Fuel Use Avoided                            | 575                           | gallons        | Fuel Use Avoided                         | 505                | gallons        |  |
| Avg. Cost, Central<br>Atlantic in Jan. 2015 | \$5.57                        | per gallon     | Cost - 5 yr. avg., Central<br>Atlantic   | \$4.82             | per gallon     |  |
| First Year Heating Cost<br>Avoided          | \$3,203                       |                | Annual Heating Cost<br>Avoided           | \$2,432            |                |  |

| ECM:                    | Air Sealing |         |                                      |               |           |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Date Completed          | Oct.        | 2014    | Efficiency Improvement <sup>12</sup> | 47            | %         |
|                         |             |         |                                      | 10 yr NPV @   |           |
| Cost:                   | \$68,027    | IRR:    | See above                            | 5%            | See above |
|                         |             | kWh per |                                      |               | kWh per   |
| Pre-ECM Efficiency      | 49.5        | CDD     | Post-ECM Efficiency                  | 26.0          | CDD       |
| First Year Savi         | ngs Estimat | e       | Ongoing Sav                          | ings Estimate |           |
| Post ECM Season         | Summ        | er 2015 | Weather Assumption                   | 10 Yea        | ir Avg    |
| Post ECM Weather        | 1,553       | CDD     | 10 Year Avg. Summer                  | 1,247         | CDD       |
| Actual Cooling Energy   |             |         | Cooling Energy Needed                |               |           |
| Used                    | 40,391      | kWh     | Post-ECM                             | 32,427        | kWh       |
| Cooling Energy at Pre-  |             |         | Cooling Energy at Pre-               |               |           |
| ECM Efficiency          | 76,895      | kWh     | ECM Efficiency                       | 61,734        | kWh       |
| Energy Avoided          | 36,504      | kWh     | Energy Avoided                       | 29,307        | kWh       |
| % Avoided               | 47%         |         | % Avoided                            | 47%           |           |
| NRDC Cost, Summer       |             |         |                                      |               |           |
| 2015                    | \$0.21      | kWh     | NRDC Cost, Summer 2016               | \$0.26        | kWh       |
| First Year Cooling Cost |             |         | Annual Cooling Cost                  |               |           |
| Avoided                 | \$7,666     |         | Avoided                              | \$7,620       |           |

<sup>12</sup> Our hypothesis for why the efficiency improvement was so much higher for cooling than for heating is that the boiler was being triggered to heat the exposed rooftop water tank.

| ECM:  | Electric Water Tank Heater |                |  |                    |                |  |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|--|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Date Completed                              | Dec                        | . 2015         | Efficiency Improvement                   | nt 18%             |                |  |
| Cost:                                       | \$11,300                   | IRR:           | 162.5%                                   | 10 yr NPV @<br>5%: | \$60,575       |  |
| Pre-ECM Efficiency                          | 88.9                       | kWh per<br>HDD | Post-ECM Efficiency                      | 73.1               | kWh per<br>HDD |  |
| First Year Savi                             | ngs Estimat                | e              | Ongoing Sa                               | vings Estimate     |                |  |
| Post ECM Season                             | Winter 2                   | 015 - 2016     | Weather Assumption                       | 10 Yea             | ar Avg         |  |
| Post ECM Weather                            | 3,248                      | HDD            | 10 Year Avg. Winter                      | 4,006              | HDD            |  |
| Actual Heating Energy<br>Used               | 237,334                    | kWh            | Heating Energy Needed<br>Post-ECM        | 292,743            | kWh            |  |
| Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency    | 288,853                    | kWh            | Heating Energy at Pre-<br>ECM Efficiency | 356,291            | kWh            |  |
| Energy Avoided                              | 51,520                     | kWh            | Energy Avoided                           | 63,548             | kWh            |  |
| % Avoided                                   | 18%                        |                | % Avoided                                | 18%                |                |  |
| Fuel  | B100                       | Biodiesel      | Fuel                                     | B100               | Biodiesel      |  |
| Fuel Use Avoided                            | 1,420                      | gallons        | Fuel Use Avoided                         | 1,752              | gallons        |  |
| Avg. Cost, Central<br>Atlantic in Jan. 2015 | \$4.30                     | per gallon     | Cost - 5 yr. avg., Central<br>Atlantic   | \$4.82             | per gallon     |  |
| First Year Heating Cost<br>Avoided          | \$6,108                    |                | Annual Heating Cost<br>Avoided           | \$8,441            |                |  |

| Total First Year Heating<br>Costs Avoided | \$13,284 | Total Annual Heating<br>Costs Avoided | \$16 |
|---|----------|---------------------------------------|------|
| Total First Year Cooling<br>Costs Avoided | \$20,697 | Total Annual Cooling<br>Costs Avoided | \$26 |
| Total First Year Energy<br>Costs Avoided  | \$33,982 | Total Annual Energy<br>Costs Avoided  | \$42 |

| Total Annual Heating                 |          |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Costs Avoided                        | \$16,203 |
| Total Annual Cooling                 | \$26 760 |
|                                      | ŞZ0,709  |
| Total Annual Energy<br>Costs Avoided | \$42,972 |

# Historical Weather Data - New York City

| Cooling Season<br>(May - Sept) | Cooling<br>Degree Days | Heating Season<br>(Oct - Mar) | Heating<br>Degree Days |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Summer 2007                    | 1,112                  | Winter 2006/2007              | 3,884                  |
| Summer 2008                    | 1,146                  | Winter 2007/2008              | 3,966                  |
| Summer 2009                    | 831                    | Winter 2008/2009              | 4,312                  |
| Summer 2010                    | 1,515                  | Winter 2009/2010              | 4,007                  |
| Summer 2011                    | 1,301                  | Winter 2010/2011              | 4,299                  |
| Summer 2012                    | 1,243                  | Winter 2011/2012              | 3,270                  |
| Summer 2013                    | 1,223                  | Winter 2012/2013              | 4,042                  |
| Summer 2014                    | 1,103                  | Winter 2013/2014              | 4,472                  |
|                                |                        |                               |                        |
| Summer 2015                    | 1,553                  | Winter 2014/2015              | 4,563                  |
| Summer 2016                    | 1,441                  | Winter 2015/2016              | 3,248                  |
| 10 Year Average                | 1,247                  | 10 Year Average               | 4,006                  |

Source: Weather Underground, KNYC Central Park Weather Station, sum of daily average degrees over 65 Fahrenheit (cooling) and under 65 Fahrenheit (heating).